Lewisville Independent School District District Improvement Plan 2023-2024

Mission Statement

Engaging and inspiring learners and leaders

Vision

All students are confident, equipped with the knowledge and skills to thrive and adapt for their future.

Core Beliefs

| Students' needs are the center of our learning community |
|--|
| Education is the shared responsibility of students, staff, and community |
| High quality staff are the heart of a culture of learning |
| A safe and nurturing environment is essential for a sense of well-being |
| Continous improvement informs and inspires future growth |
| Students are more than a test score |

Table of Contents

| Comprehensive Needs Assessment | 4 |
|--|----|
| Demographics | 4 |
| Student Learning | 4 |
| District Processes & Programs | 6 |
| Perceptions | 7 |
| Priority Problem Statements | 8 |
| Goals | 9 |
| Goal 1: Student Learning - Engage students through relevant instruction and assessment sparking curiosity and critical thinking, meeting individual academic needs as they impact their world now and in the future. | 10 |
| Goal 2: Student Experience - Create safe, engaging environments where students experience a sense of belonging and wellbeing, and are challenged by learning opportunities inside and outside of the classroom. | 12 |
| Goal 3: Resource Stewardship - Manage resources in a fiscally responsible way as stewards of our community's time, talent, and dollars. | 19 |
| Goal 4: Resource Stewardship - Recruit, retain, and develop the talents of dedicated teachers and staff to engage and inspire learners and leaders. | 23 |
| Goal 5: Community Engagement - Build ONELISD, a connected culture of community engagement and purposeful communications. | 27 |
| Goal 6: Federal and State Mandates | 29 |
| Goal 7: Student Achievement/State Mandated Testing/Address Safeguards | 37 |
| Addendums | 38 |

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Lewisville ISD serves 49,000+ students in grades EE, PK, and KG-12. The district features a dedicated Early Childhood Campus (Lillie J. Jackson) that provides Pre-Kindergarten (PK) classrooms and 19 elementary campuses also provide PPCD. In addition to the early childhood campus, LISD has 39 elementary schools, 15 middle schools serving students in 6th grade through 8th grade, and five high school feeder patterns. Additionally, facilities in LISD include two Career Centers (TECC-E & TECC-W), a Night High School, Special Education Support Center, Student Success Center and the Lewisville Learning Center. Unique learning experiences are provided through LISD Outdoor Learning Area (LISDOLA), Collegiate Academy, STEM Academies, Discovery PreK Academy, and Virtual Learning Academy (VLA).

The demographics of LISD students are: 36.6% White, 30.7% Hispanic, 15.7% Asian, 12.0% African-American, 5.0% American-Indian/Pacific Islander/Two or More Races. 33.6% are economically disadvantaged, 16.4% Special Education, 11.3% Gifted/Talented and 19.6% are English Learners.

The demographics of LISD teachers are: 76.4% White, 12.5% Hispanic, 6.1% African-American, 5.1% Asian/American-Indian/Pacific Islander/Two or More Races. Approximately 27.2% of teachers are within 1-5 years of experience. Approximately 72.8% of teachers have six or more years' experience. The average years of teaching experience is 12.4 and the average years of teacher experience with the district is 8.7. LISD has a teacher turnover rate of 12.9%, compared to 17.7% for the state.

Demographics Strengths

In Lewisville ISD:

- 96.0% of students attend school regularly
- 94.6% of ELL students attend school regularly
- 93.8% of Economically Disadvantaged students attend school regularly
- All campus leaders and district leaders walk classrooms regularly and collect instructional data with curriculum alignment and trend walkthrough instruments
- The district's annual dropout rate was 1.0% (class of 2020-21, grades 9-12)
- The teacher turnover rate was 12.9% compared to 17.7% for the state
- The average years of teacher experience is 12.4 and the average years of teacher experience with the district is 8.7
- Data source: 2021-22TAPR

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The turnover rate is increasing and recruitment and retention of highly qualified staff is decreasing. **Root Cause:** Fewer highly qualified staff and recent certified graduates interested in employment. Increased workload and require paperwork.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Lewisville ISD students, on average, score above state and national averages on college and career readiness exams. Lewisville ISD students average a 24.7 on the ACT exam, the state average is 20.0. Lewisville ISD students average a 1131 on the SAT exam, the state average is 1002.

The LISD Class of 2021 had a 96.6% graduation rate.

93.5% of ELL students graduated compared to 79.9% at the state level.

95.2% of students served in Special Education graduated compared to 79.7% for the state.

The five year graduation rate for the Class of 2020 was 97.1% with the ELL rate reaching 88.1%.

65.6% of graduates were College, Career, and Military ready.

57.8% of LISD graduates were College Ready compared to 52.7% of the state.

LISD exceeds the state participation rate for ELA and math AP courses. The TEA formula is limited to 11th and 12th grades, so not all LISD participants are included as may take AP courses in 9th and 10th grades. For example, students taking AP Human Geography and AP Biology as Freshman are not reflected in the TAPR calculations.

LISD students outperform the state on AP, SAT and ACT exams.

In 2021 18.0% of graduates completed a dual credit course compared to 14.9% in 2020. Staff expect to see this continue to increase as more students complete dual credit courses.

At the end of the 2022-23 school year:

- 92% of 1st grade students demonstrated overall readiness in literacy
- 90% of 2nd grade students demonstrated overall readiness in literacy

The above data comes from LISD Readiness Dashboards, LISD Campus profiles, TAPR, and 2022-23 Board Student Learning Update Presentations

State mandated test data for students in grades 3-12 can be located in the Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) on the district website at https://www.lisd.net/Page/1494

Student Learning Strengths

- 1. Significant improvement in EB and Special Education graduation rates.
- 2. LISD graduation rate of 96.6% compared to 90% at the state level.
- 3. LISD students outperform the state on AP, SAT, and ACT exams.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The graduation rate is not 100% **Root Cause:** Students needs vary greatly, as do underlying causes that create the need and our time/talent/funds is a limited resource.

| Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): 65.6% of graduates were College, Career, need and our time/talent/funds is a limited resource. Changing definition for CC | , and Military ready Root Cause: Stud CMR ready presents future challenges. | dents needs vary greatly, as do underlying | causes that create the |
|---|---|--|------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Lewisville Independent School District | 6 of 39 | | District #061902 |

District Processes & Programs

District Processes & Programs Summary

The district approved a Long Range Plan in spring 2023 and has created a strategic process to monitor implementation as part of the continuous improvement cycle. The onboarding process has been streamlined to align with the new vision, mission, and beliefs to ground new hires in LISD values. Each campus and department participates in goal setting and develops strategies to ensure achievement of targeted outcomes on campus and district scorecard.

There is a three-year tiered support system for new teachers, including a mentor and continuous learning aligned with LISD priorities. Teachers participate in a collaborative appraisal cycle as part of the LISD LEAD evaluation instrument which includes reflection and goal setting, observations and walkthroughs, and goal attainment at the end of the year conference.

District Processes & Programs Strengths

- 1. Clear direction and alignment in priorities with the Long Range Plan and scorecard.
- 2. Thorough 3-year support program to grow first year teacher.

Problem Statements Identifying District Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Lack of streamlined consolidated training based on roles/responsibilities **Root Cause:** Myriad of professional learning options without specified pathways.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

LISD has focused on the three Cs - Communication, Connection, and Culture over the past year. Staff, student, and parent surveys are conducted regularly to understand perception and inform decisions. The staff Pulse Check survey is focused on the three Cs and content on the scorecard. Student and parents surveys are focused on experiences and learning environment as the campus.

- 92.2% of parents feel they receive appropriate communication from the campus.
- 92.9% of parents report their child is glad to come to school.
- 74.6% of students indicate a positive learning environment.
- 81.8% of students indicate they are comfortable talking to a staff member.
- 77% of staff feel there is clear and open communication and a clear direction of the district.
- 86.3% of staff indicate they have trusting relationships at work.

Perceptions Strengths

- 1. A high percentage of parents indicated their child is glad to come to school.
- 2. Staff have built trusting relationships that have had a positive impact on the work environment.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Less than 100% of students have a staff member they are comfortable talking to. **Root Cause:** Building trusting relationships and connections with staff and students takes time and intentional work.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: The turnover rate is increasing and recruitment and retention of highly qualified staff is decreasing.

Root Cause 1: Fewer highly qualified staff and recent certified graduates interested in employment. Increased workload and require paperwork.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: The graduation rate is not 100%

Root Cause 2: Students needs vary greatly, as do underlying causes that create the need and our time/talent/funds is a limited resource.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: 65.6% of graduates were College, Career, and Military ready

Root Cause 3: Students needs vary greatly, as do underlying causes that create the need and our time/talent/funds is a limited resource. Changing definition for CCMR ready presents future challenges.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Lack of streamlined consolidated training based on roles/responsibilities

Root Cause 4: Myriad of professional learning options without specified pathways.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: Less than 100% of students have a staff member they are comfortable talking to.

Root Cause 5: Building trusting relationships and connections with staff and students takes time and intentional work.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Perceptions

Goals

Goal 1: Student Learning - Engage students through relevant instruction and assessment sparking curiosity and critical thinking, meeting individual academic needs as they impact their world now and in the future.

Performance Objective 1: Design and deliver curriculum and instruction that increases teacher efficiency and effectiveness and maximize student learning.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Teacher feedback

Istation reading and math Readiness dashboard

| Strategy 1 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
|--|-----------|--------------|----------|
| Strategy 1: Solicit and analyze teacher feedback about curricular barriers and assessment tools in order to prioritize and streamline current | Formative | | |
| core content curriculum and assessments to include high yield instructional strategies and relevant learning experiences to maximize student success | Nov | Feb | May |
| Model use of curriculum, instruction, and assessment during the PLC process to reinforce high yield strategies Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 1.1.1, 1.1.3, 1.1.4, 1.1.5 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Learning and Teaching Accountability and Evaluation Schools Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2: Monitor readiness rates and implement interventions at campus level | | Formative | |
| Embed dashboard readiness data conversations with campus leaders to identify and support student needs Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 1.1.6, 1.1.7, 1.1.8 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | Nov | Feb | May |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Learning and Teaching Accountability and Evaluation Schools | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | e | I | <u> </u> |

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: The graduation rate is not 100% **Root Cause**: Students needs vary greatly, as do underlying causes that create the need and our time/talent/funds is a limited resource.

Problem Statement 2: 65.6% of graduates were College, Career, and Military ready **Root Cause**: Students needs vary greatly, as do underlying causes that create the need and our time/talent/funds is a limited resource. Changing definition for CCMR ready presents future challenges.

Goal 1: Student Learning - Engage students through relevant instruction and assessment sparking curiosity and critical thinking, meeting individual academic needs as they impact their world now and in the future.

Performance Objective 2: Enhance student learning through targeted experiences that connect to student interests and needs, prepare students to develop future opportunities, and determine appropriate academic interventions and support.

Evaluation Data Sources: Readiness dashboard

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|---|-----|-------------|-----|
| Strategy 1: Model use of data to determine needs and implement appropriate academic intervention during the PLC process | | Formative | |
| Monitor readiness rates and implement interventions at campus level Embed dashboard readiness data conversations with campus leaders to set campus goals Monitor and communicate benefits of advanced course enrollment, CTE Certifications earned, Dual Credit hours earned, AP College Credits earned Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 1.1.5, 1.1.6, 1.2.1, 1.2.2, 1.2.3, 1.2.4, 1.2.5, 1.2.6 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Learning and Teaching Accountability and Evaluation Schools | Nov | Feb | May |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2: Collaborate with Board of Trustees to review program enrollments while not diluting another program or creating a financial | | Formative | |
| burden Provide equitable access to and monitor the usage of modern technologies relevant to the workforce that meets needs of learning and teaching | Nov | Feb | May |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See all 1.1 and 1.2 metrics on district scorecard for targeted outcomes | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Learning and Teaching Accountability and Evaluation Technology | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue | e | | |

Performance Objective 1: Increase relevance and effectiveness of MS/HS advisory structure and content over a 3-year period.

Evaluation Data Sources: Surveys and input groups

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | iews |
|--|-----|-------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Evaluate and reimage advisory content and structure | | Formative | |
| Prioritize mandatory lessons for advisory and allow campuses to select pertinent optional lessons based on campus need Seek different scheduling and timing options to maximize impact | Nov | Feb | May |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 2.1.1 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Schools | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2: Work with campuses to develop a system to track CSW curriculum implementation | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 2.1.1 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | Nov | Feb | May |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Schools Campuses | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinu | e | | |

Performance Objective 2: Increase the relevance, positivity, and collaboration of the student experience to build community, belonging, and student ownership.

Evaluation Data Sources: Surveys

LISD Dashboard

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----|
| Strategy 1: Meet with groups of students on a regular basis to gain insight on current issues, challenges, and preferences. Utilize feedback | | Formative | |
| provided to inform decision Reinforms the most first off to show they can and consider student normalities with stoff | Nov | Feb | May |
| Reinforce the need for staff to show they care and consider student perspectives with staff Build community through Restorative Practices and other proactive behavior management strategies | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3, 2.5.1, 2.5.2, 2.5.3, 2.5.4 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Staff Schools Student Services | | | |
| Problem Statements: Perceptions 1 | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2: Review long-standing practices and current systems to determine relevance and possible ways to combine to reduce work | Formative | | |
| Collaborate with leaders to recommend revisions to outdated practices Review secondary UIL event participation percentages grades 7-12 | Nov | Feb | May |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 2.2.3, 2.5.5 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Staff Schools Student Services | | | |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1 | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue | e | | |

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: The turnover rate is increasing and recruitment and retention of highly qualified staff is decreasing. **Root Cause**: Fewer highly qualified staff and recent certified graduates interested in employment. Increased workload and require paperwork.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: Less than 100% of students have a staff member they are comfortable talking to. **Root Cause**: Building trusting relationships and connections with staff and students takes time and intentional work.

Performance Objective 3: Reduce percentage of truant students district wide to maximize student learning opportunities.

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance reports

| Strategy 1 Details | For | rmative Rev | iews |
|---|-----|--------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Monitor campus usage of truancy software and provide support to implement with fidelity | | Formative | |
| Embed learning of effective use of truancy software to identify and provide interventions for chronically absent Campus attendance intervention data reviewed by School Chiefs monthly to support campus implementation | Nov | Nov Feb M | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 2.3.1, 2.3.2 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Schools | | | |
| Student Services | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 2: Create a bank of interventions for campuses to use when dashboard identifies student in need of assistance | | Formative | |
| Implement interventions at the time of early indicators for truancy issue at the campus level | Nov | Feb | May |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 2.3.1, 2.3.2 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Schools | | | |
| Student Services | | | |
| | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinu | e | | |

Performance Objective 4: Monitor safety and security of all LISD facilities.

Evaluation Data Sources: Safety reports

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|--|-----|-------------|-----|
| Strategy 1: Train students, parents, and staff to implement safety reporting tools | | Formative | |
| Implementation of LISD K9 Detection Program | Nov | Feb | May |
| Have campus administration complete the required FEMA NIMS training Complete and submit Campus Emergency Operation Plans to Public Safety Agencies | | | |
| Implement Stop It App | | | |
| Implement the Guardian program to comply with the new HB3 | | | |
| Provide learning opportunities for students and parents regarding bullying prevention | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 2.4.1, 2.4.2, 2.4.3, 2.5.1, 2.5.2 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Student Services | | | |
| Schools | | | |
| | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue Discontinue | e | | |

Performance Objective 5: Increase collaborative interventions to identify and support the wellbeing and behavioral needs of students.

Evaluation Data Sources: Survey results LISD Dashboard Eduphoria Strive reports Committee report

| Strategy 1 Details | For | Formative Reviews | | | |
|--|-----|-------------------|------|---------|-----|
| Strategy 1: Train campus leaders on positive behavior supports in conjunction with the development of campus behavior management plans | | Formative | | | |
| Implement the use of schoolwide discipline management plans Use dashboard data to identify a discipline goal to include in CIP | Nov | Nov Feb | | Nov Feb | May |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 2.5.1, 2.5.2, 2.5.3, 2.5.4 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Student Services | | | | | |
| Schools | | | | | |
| Campuses | | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Rev | iews | | |
| Strategy 2: Implement a collaborative intervention system to provide positive behavior supports for campuses based on CIP goals Provide initial and refresher training in Restorative practices to support campus implementation | | Formative | | | |
| | Nov | Feb | May | | |
| Continue implementation of the district wide "LISD Student Discipline Leadership Team" Analyze student and parent perspective as indicated in the survey results | | | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 2.5.1, 2.5.2, 2.5.3, 2.5.4, 2.5.6 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Student Services | | | | | |
| Schools | | | | | |
| Campuses | | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Perceptions 1 | | | | | |
| Strategy 3 Details | For | Formative Reviews | | | |
| Strategy 3: Provide training for counselors to access/evaluate students in crisis | | Formative | | | |
| Implementation process for K-12 threat assessment and suicidal ideation Reduce locally required paperwork or processes that impact the time available to meet with students | Nov | Feb | May | | |

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 2.5.1, 2.5.2, 2.5.5 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Schools
Campuses

No Progress

Accomplished

Continue/Modify

Discontinue

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: Less than 100% of students have a staff member they are comfortable talking to. **Root Cause**: Building trusting relationships and connections with staff and students takes time and intentional work.

Performance Objective 1: Increase and maintain long-range financial stability through the utilization of a strategic approach while maintaining high quality education programs and services for all.

Evaluation Data Sources: Finance reports

| Strategy 1 Details | For | rmative Revi | iews |
|--|--------------|--------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Conduct quarterly expenditure projection meetings with key departments related to large scale programs | | Formative | |
| Departments evaluate current budget and work with LT to prioritize spending Educate campus and district leaders on current practices and their impact on district finances Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.3 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Finance Leadership Team Department leaders | Nov | Feb | May |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2: Create and adhere to long range financial management plan to achieve balanced budgets and maintain fund balance | Formative | | |
| Collaborate with specialized experts through advisory meetings to keep current and informed to ensure sound decision making Meet with service providers and financial advisors to discuss and identify possible cost reduction options | Nov | Feb | May |
| Analyze current practices to seek out inefficiencies and determine cost effective options React to the funding from the state in a strategic approach and strategically advocate on behalf of LISD for an equitable school finance funding system Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.3 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Finance Legal Accountability and Evaluation | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue | - | | |

Performance Objective 2: Increase the community's (internal and external) understanding of Texas School Finance and the LISD budget.

Evaluation Data Sources: Finance dashboard

Finance reports

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|--|-----|-------------|-----|
| Strategy 1: Develop and implement communication plan to engage with and inform all stakeholders about finances related to the Financial | | Formative | |
| Dashboard to inform about the BOND and budget | Nov | Feb | May |
| Provide monthly newsletter with major finance updates to department leaders Include tax rate impact during annual budget workshop | | | |
| Develop an annual finance/budget training for campus/department administrators and budget managers Examine spending trends and practices to identify training needs to better align with best practices | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 3.2.1, 3.2.2 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Finance | | | |
| Legal Communications | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | e | | |

Performance Objective 3: Increase the efficient and fiscally responsible practices to maximize district funding and increase entitlements.

Evaluation Data Sources: Finance reports

PEIMS reports Technology reports

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|--|-----|-------------|-----|
| Strategy 1: Evaluate, select, and fully implement admin applications that meet district needs and increase efficiency while maintaining data | | Formative | |
| integrity for TEA submission compliance Evaluate special programs and staffing models through the lens of finance Identify scheduling and coding practices and explore options that lead to increased funding Provide training to impacted areas to inform and make decisions based on results to adjust current practices Implement a plan to stay in compliance with general operating fund balance resolution Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 3.3.1, 33.2 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | Nov | Feb | May |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Finance Accountability and Evaluation, PEIMS | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2: Improve overall campus and cybersecurity posture using TX Cyber Framework | | Formative | |
| Assist campuses in analyzing 1:X data and recommend strategies for improvement including how device is used Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 3.3.2 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | Nov | Feb | May |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Technology | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue | e | | |

Performance Objective 4: Balance staff workload to better meet student needs and increase staff wellbeing.

Evaluation Data Sources: Survey results

| Strategy 1 Details | Formative Reviews | | ews |
|--|-------------------|-----|-----|
| Strategy 1: Compile initiatives and implementation timelines to narrow down the focus areas and make informed decisions about | Formative | | |
| commitments that impact time and workload | Nov | Feb | May |
| Implement a strict request process for new programs/initiatives/resources to review impact on workload and avoid the additive nature of our work | | | |
| Analyze elementary teacher schedule and processes to determine possible solutions to minimize non teaching duties and automate/reduce paperwork | | | |
| Convene solution group to audit processes/programs that are heavy with paperwork and streamline | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 3.4.1, 3.4.2, 3.4.3 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Staff | | | |
| Schools | | | |
| Technology Leadership Team | | | |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1 | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | ; | | |

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: The turnover rate is increasing and recruitment and retention of highly qualified staff is decreasing. **Root Cause**: Fewer highly qualified staff and recent certified graduates interested in employment. Increased workload and require paperwork.

Goal 4: Resource Stewardship - Recruit, retain, and develop the talents of dedicated teachers and staff to engage and inspire learners and leaders.

Performance Objective 1: Increase capacity and strengthen employee talent pools by leveraging a culture of talent development (recruit).

Evaluation Data Sources: Human Resources Staffing reports

Eduphoria Strive reports

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Rev | iews |
|---|-----|-------------|----------|
| Strategy 1: Expand the Grow Your Own program at the HS level in collaboration with the departments of CTE/HR/Professional Learning to | | Formative | |
| maximize, impact, and incentivize future LISD employment Create innovative partnerships and pathways to address staffing needs and reach more quality candidates Focus on hard to fill areas through a prioritization of staffing and compensation Seek additional recruitment opportunities Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 4.1.1, 4.1.2, 4.1.3 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Human Resources Schools | Nov | Feb | May |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 2: Seek, identify and engage with prospective leaders through collaboration on aspirations, intentional development opportunities, | | Formative | |
| and training on skills required to advance Develop leadership opportunities to build future leaders in capacities needed to lead Develop mentorship program to identify, encourage, and build future leaders Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 4.1.4, 4.2.1 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Schools Human Resources Campuses Leadership Team | Nov | Feb | May |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1 | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue | e | I | <u> </u> |

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: The turnover rate is increasing and recruitment and retention of highly qualified staff is decreasing. **Root Cause**: Fewer highly qualified staff and recent certified graduates interested in employment. Increased workload and require paperwork.

Goal 4: Resource Stewardship - Recruit, retain, and develop the talents of dedicated teachers and staff to engage and inspire learners and leaders.

Performance Objective 2: Increase diverse perspectives and employee engagement by involving staff in decisions that affect them (retain).

Evaluation Data Sources: Human Resources Staff reports

Survey results
Input group feedback

| Strategy 1 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
|---|-----------|-------------------|-----|
| Strategy 1: Use data from exit surveys to identify themes | Formative | | |
| Analyze and respond to Pulse Check results (district and campus level) | Nov | Feb | May |
| Provide opportunities for staff to share input and utilize feedback provided to inform decisions | | | - |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See district scorecard 4.2.1, 4.2.2, 4.2.3 for targeted outcomes | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Human Resources | | | |
| Schools | | | |
| Accountability and Evaluation | | | |
| Chief of Staff | | | |
| | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | e | | |

Goal 4: Resource Stewardship - Recruit, retain, and develop the talents of dedicated teachers and staff to engage and inspire learners and leaders.

Performance Objective 3: Increase alignment of training based on job requirements to impact job performance and maximize learning for staff (develop).

Evaluation Data Sources: Survey results Eduphoria Strive reports Input group feedback

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | iews |
|---|-----|-------------|------|
| Strategy 1: Require comprehensive SIS training of SIS users | | Formative | |
| Utilize the PLC process to support curriculum, instruction, and assessment tools and best practices Collect feedback on quality and anticipated impact of professional learning experiences to inform and guide future PL Explore options to monitor and support the implementation of new learning to impact scorecard measures Update principal profile to align with new vision, mission, beliefs and goals included in the long-range plan Provide differentiated learning and support to hard to fill areas and get feedback. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 4.3.1, 4.3.3 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Schools Leadership Team Problem Statements: District Processes & Programs 1 | Nov | Feb | May |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | iews |
| Strategy 2: Research PL approach to address current workforce changes | | Formative | |
| Identify priority learning based on job requirements and highest needs as indicated in feedback sources Utilize trade credit structure to build expertise Streamline communications so staff and leaders can be informed of prioritized learning in different areas Maximize professional learning time with campus principals and APs in the development of a schedule that allows for planning, coaching and feedback, and leadership growth Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 4.3.2 on the district scorecard for targeted outcomes Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Schools Communications Leadership Team Problem Statements: District Processes & Programs 1 | Nov | Feb | May |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | 2 | | • |

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Lack of streamlined consolidated training based on roles/responsibilities Root Cause: Myriad of professional learning options without specified pathways.

Goal 5: Community Engagement - Build ONELISD, a connected culture of community engagement and purposeful communications.

Performance Objective 1: Advance the message that promotes, protects, and champions LISD as the superior choice for families.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Survey results Communication reports Input group feedback

| Strategy 1 Details | Formative Reviews | | ews |
|---|-------------------|-----|-----|
| Strategy 1: LISD App Implementation | Formative | | |
| Reinforce communication commitments and partnership with parents to retain staff Support principals and department leaders in streamlining the message to parents and staff | Nov | Feb | May |
| Support staff in navigating hot topics in alignment with district board policies Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.1.3, 5.1.4, 5.1.5, 5.1.6, 5.1.7 on district scorecard for targeted outcomes Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Communications Schools Chief of Staff | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | e | | |

Goal 5: Community Engagement - Build ONELISD, a connected culture of community engagement and purposeful communications.

Performance Objective 2: Increase the utilization of feedback to build trust and inform decision-making.

Evaluation Data Sources: Survey results

Input group feedback

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----|
| Strategy 1: Analyze and prioritize current surveys to ensure we are receiving feedback on most important topics | Formative | | |
| Embed the review of feedback on a timely and regular basis with principals and department leaders Develop intentional and timely communication plan to share feedback results and action plans taken as a result | Nov | Feb | May |
| Use opportunity to educate audience based on identified themes gathered from feedback Develop a plan to showcase the success of LISD staff and students Implement the raptor customer service survey. Analyze results to inform decisions. | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: See 5.2.1, 5.2.2, 5.2.3 on district scorecard for targeted outcomes | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Communications | | | |
| Chief of Staff | | | |
| Schools Leadership Team | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | e | | |

Goal 6: Federal and State Mandates

Performance Objective 1: Coordinated Health - School Health Advisory Council (SHAC) Strategies

Evaluation Data Sources: Annual Report to the Board of Trustees

| Strategy 1 Details | For | Formative Reviews | |
|--|-----|-------------------|-----|
| Strategy 1: Hold regular meetings of the SHAC throughout the school year in alignment with Texas Education Code. | | Formative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Committee membership will reflect diverse perspectives of the community along with district staff | Nov | Feb | May |
| to focus on initiatives that are related to student health and well-being. | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Student Services | | | |
| | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue | ! | | |

Performance Objective 2: Comprehensive School Counseling Program, Positive Behavior Supports, and Trauma Informed Care

Evaluation Data Sources: Staff training data, local partnerships, counselor data and logs, student assistance counselor data logs, behavior interventionist logs.

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | iews |
|---|-----|-------------|------|
| Strategy 1: LISD incorporates and implements trauma informed care practices through: | | Formative | |
| Increasing staff and parent awareness of trauma-informed care by using resources developed by TEA. Counselors are trained on the (Adverse Childhood Experiences Study) ACEs practices which is highlighted as an intervention/awareness practice by TEA. Implementation of trauma-informed practices with Trust Based Relational Intervention (TBRI) and ACES (included in BOY training). Dedicated school counseling staff who are trained in providing support to students experiencing grief and/or in need of trauma support. Training for staff is based on best practice research and evidence based materials. The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMSHA) registry is the go to for recommended best practice or evidence-based practice. The Guidance and Counseling team is integrating Trust Based Relational Intervention (TBRI) in all campus counselor training at the local level. This practice does meet the criteria for evidence based. LISD staff receive Mental Health Awareness training. In addition to district partnerships with local resources like MHMR and other ancillary mental health authorities, the district has invested in experts on staff to ensure comprehensive school program and trauma informed care mandates are met. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Eduphoria Strive keeps track of professional learning and hours earned by staff in trauma informed care and mental health and wellness learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Schools | Nov | Feb | May |
| Strategy 2 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
| Strategy 2: Dating Violence | | Formative | |
| LISD does not tolerate dating violence. The Counseling and Social Work team presents staff and student education on the prevention and legal responsibilities related to dating violence. Guidelines for victims include reporting outcry to trusted adult along with following district | Nov | Feb | May |
| protocol for outcries, specifically that parent notification will take place immediately following identification of a victim or perpetrator. Violations will be reported to the appropriate authorities as required by law. | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increasing education and reporting pathway to support students in participation in healthy dating relationships. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Schools | | | |
| No Progress Cook Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinue | | | |

Goal 6: Federal and State Mandates

Performance Objective 3: Continuous Improvement resulting in equitable access

Evaluation Data Sources: LEAD data, trend walkthrough data, academic data

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|---|-------------|-------------|-----|
| Strategy 1: Through Title I Instructional Coaches, build instructional capacity of campus staff in high-need schools through job-embedded | Formative | | |
| professional learning, lesson modeling, data disaggregation, and actionable feedback immediately and onsite, throughout the year. | Nov | Feb | May |
| Evaluate and utilize staff model to ensure high quality staff and meet campus needs. | 1 | | |
| Continuous analysis and monitoring of student growth and progress during check ins and PLC progress. Provide interventions and staff training when necessary. | l | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Maintain levels of experienced and certified teachers in high-need schools | i | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Learning & Teaching | i | | |
| School Chiefs | • | | |
| Human Resources | • | | |
| | i | | |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue | | | |

Goal 6: Federal and State Mandates

Performance Objective 4: Federal and State Program Strategies

Evaluation Data Sources: 2023-2024 Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Application for Federal Funding, other LISD grant applications

| Strategy 1 Details | For | mative Revi | ews |
|---|-----|-------------|-----|
| Strategy 1: The Federal Programs administrator (1 FTE, Title I-funded) and secretary (1 FTE, Title I-funded) will support the federal grant | | Formative | |
| programs, and provide services for campuses operating a schoolwide Title I program. The Title I Secondary Math Learning Facilitator (1 FTE, Title I-funded) will provide math support for secondary, Title I campuses (job-embedded coaching and individual support for teachers, support | Nov | Feb | May |
| for campus, grade-level, and/or department PLCs, model research-based instructional strategies, etc.). Title I grant support employees (<0.5 temp employees, Title I-funded) will provide program support to the Title I grant program. The Restorative Coach/Student Mentor (<0.5 temp employee, Title I-funded) will support students with the greatest need at campuses that request assistance. | | | |
| All Title I campuses will receive training and regular support from the federal programs administrator, who will attend trainings to ensure that all LISD federal programs are in compliance. Training materials, office supplies, Title I Crate software, travel expenditures, and other administrative costs are expected; approximately \$66,500 in T1 expenditures are anticipated for this initiative. | | | |
| The Title I administrator will ensure that federally required equitable services are provided to participating private/nonprofit schools; approximately \$17,000 in Title I expenditures are anticipated. | | | |
| School attendance areas for Title I funding purposes will use Free or Reduced Lunch eligibility for poverty criteria. | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Schools with 40% or more of students receiving Free or Reduced Lunch will receive federal funding through the Title I program. All expenditures will be supporting a need identified by a comprehensive needs assessment, supporting a goal in the campus or district improvement plan, necessary and reasonable, evaluated by the end of the grant period, and providing an upgrade to the entire educational program. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Human Resources | | | |
| | | | |

| For | mative Revi | ews |
|-----|-------------|----------------------------|
| | Formative | |
| Nov | Feb | May |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| For | mative Revi | ews |
| | Formative | |
| Nov | Feb | May |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Nov | Nov Feb Formative Reviews |

| Strategy 4 Details | Formative Reviews | | ews |
|--|-------------------|-----|-----|
| Strategy 4: The Title IV program will support the ACE Partnership Plan with CISNT, facilitating the after-school programs at four campuses identified by percentage of students served by the free or reduced lunch program, percentage of students identified as at-risk, and student achievement. Approximately \$72,000 in Title IV expenditures are anticipated for this program. These expenditures specifically target the support of safe and healthy students. | Formative | | |
| | Nov | Feb | May |
| Federally required equitable services will be provided to participating private/nonprofit schools; approximately \$24,000 in Title IV expenditures are anticipated. | | | |
| Every STEM Academy will be supported by Title IV funds, including but not limited to the STEM certification process, STEM-specific instructional supplies and materials, and items to support the professional learning of educators who are employed at the STEM academy, specific to STEM-centered instruction and curriculum. Approximately \$254,100 in Title IV expenditures are anticipated for this program. The Title IV facilitator (1 FTE, Title IV-funded) will provide STEM program support and drive the STEM van to elementary campuses that don't currently have STEM enrichment through STEM specials programs or the STEM academy program. These expenditures specifically target the support of well-rounded educational opportunities for students. | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campuses with a high population of students who are at-risk of dropping out of school will be provided with after-school opportunities to engage in academic enrichment, and additional activities that are designed to help them meet the state's rigorous standards. | | | |
| STEM Academy teachers will be trained and certified, and the opportunity for Lewisville ISD students to participate in a stem-based education will increase because of additional spots available for students. | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Learning & Teaching, Human Resources | | | |
| Strategy 5 Details | Formative Reviews | | |
| Strategy 5: The State Special Allotments programs support five specific areas: Special Education, Career & Tech, Gifted & Talented, Bilingual, and State Compensatory Education (students identified as at-risk). Within each of these programs, approximately 90% of funding is allocated to payroll for staff who directly support students participating in these programs; the remaining 10% is spent on supplemental | Formative | | |
| | Nov | Feb | May |
| expenditures to directly support program needs, as identified by program support employees and campus staff and administration. | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students who participate in the State Special Allotments programs will receive supplemental services that directly support student success, and the district will meet all spending requirements set forth by the state for the special allotment funding. | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Human Resources, the Finance Office, Learning & Teaching, School Chiefs | | | |
| Strategy 6 Details | Formative Reviews | | |
| Strategy 6: Lewisville ISD receives additional state and federal funding from other programs, including but not limited to Perkins V, SPED Consolidated (IDEA-B), and TCLAS, programs. These sources of funding provide resources for the district in meeting our goals, and in honoring our promise to students, staff, parents, and the communities we serve - all students are confident, equipped with the knowledge and | Formative | | |
| | Nov | Feb | May |

skills to thrive and adapt for their future.

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Efficient use of funding to support student needs

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Human Resources, Finance Department

No Progress

No Progress

Continue/Modify

Discontinue

Goal 6: Federal and State Mandates

Performance Objective 5: Homeless Children and Youth Strategies.

All students served under McKinney-Vento Act will receive identified services as required by law. Services include zero barriers to enrollment and zero out of school suspension or truancy filing due to absences related to McKinney-Vento qualifiers. In addition, students served by the McKinney-Vento Act are eligible for funding support related to needs such as: cost of AP testing and participation in extracurricular activities.

Evaluation Data Sources: free breakfast and lunch program, assistance with materials/uniforms/fees for extracurricular activities to assistance with AP testing fees.

| Strategy 1 Details | Formative Reviews | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----|-----|--|
| Strategy 1: Enroll students who seek to enroll and do not have the required enrollment documents. Students are referred to the counselor in | Formative | | | |
| order that the counselor can discern if they are entitled to be served under McKinney-Vento. If so, the counselor works to get services set up. | Nov | Feb | May | |
| Students and families who come to enroll, and disclose a homeless status, are referred to the counselor for wrap-around services to be initiated. | | | | |
| Through collaboration with campus admin and attendance clerks, students served by McKinney-Vento who have attendance concerns, are referred to the counselor in order for the counselor to meet with the student and work with the families to overcome any attendance obstacles. Interventions range from individual counseling to connection to community resources. | | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Overall Success Students served through McKinney-Vento work with campuses to ensure their needs are met. This can range from participation in the free breakfast and lunch program, assistance with materials/uniforms/fees for extracurricular activities to assistance with AP testing fees. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chiefs of Schools, Counseling and Social Work Department | | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | • | I | | |

Goal 7: Student Achievement/State Mandated Testing/Address Safeguards

Performance Objective 1: Analyze state mandated testing data to inform accelerated instruction needs for students and address safeguards.

Evaluation Data Sources: CBA's, local tests, STAAR data, TAPR, RDA

| Strategy 1 Details | Formative Reviews | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------|-----|--|--|
| Strategy 1: LISD Accountability and Evaluation Department will train all campus and other relevant staff on the multiple state accountability | | Formative | | | |
| systems and data analysis required to understand each system to assess academic achievement for students based on student achievement domain, school progress domain, and the closing the gaps domain. | Nov | Feb | May | | |
| Campus and department personnel will be able to analyze state mandated testing data housed in campus shared assessment folders and in Eduphoria Aware. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Achievement of all students increase as indicated on STAAR and local academic performance data, graduation rate. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Learning and Teaching Chief of Schools Accountability and Evaluation | | | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | ; | | | | |

Addendums

Board Outcome Goal- District: 3rd Grade Istation Reading Goal

Early Childhood Literacy Board Outcome Goal

The percent of 3rd grade students that score meets grade level on ISIP or achieve goals set in IEP's in Reading will increase according to targets for each student group as shown in the table below by June 2024.

| Closing the Gaps Student Groups Yearly Targets | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| | All Students | AA | Н | W | As | 2+ | Sp. Ed. | Eco. Dis. | EB | | |
| 2024 | 78.0% | 71.0% | 78.0% | 82.0% | 90.0% | 86.0% | 78.0% | 75.0% | 75.0% | | |
| 2025 | 78.2% | 73.0% | 78.2% | 82.1% | 90.1% | 86.1% | 78.2% | 76.0% | 76.0% | | |
| 2026* | 78.5% | 75.5% | 78.5% | 82.3% | 90.3% | 86.3% | 78.5% | 76.8% | 77.1% | | |
| 2027* | 78.7% | 78.0% | 78.7% | 82.4% | 90.4% | 86.4% | 78.7% | 78.0% | 78.2% | | |
| 2028* | 79.0% | 79.0% | 79.0% | 82.5% | 90.5% | 86.5% | 79.0% | 79.0% | 79.0% | | |

Board Outcome Goal- District: 3rd Grade Istation Math Goal

Early Childhood Math Board Outcome Goal- Istation Math

The percent of 3rd grade students that score 494 or higher on Istation Math or achieve goals set in IEP's in mathematics will increase according to targets for each student group as shown in the table below by June 2024.

| | Closing the Gaps Student Groups Yearly Targets | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|
| | All Students | AA | н | W | As | 2+ | Spec. Ed. | Eco. Dis. | EB | | | |
| 2024 | 76.0% | 74.0% | 75.0% | 83.0% | 87.0% | 76.0% | 70.0% | 73.0% | 70.0% | | | |
| 2025 | 76.2% | 75.0% | 75.5% | 83.1% | 87.1% | 76.2% | 72.0% | 74.0% | 72.0% | | | |
| 2026* | 76.5% | 76.0% | 76.0% | 83.3% | 87.3% | 76.5% | 73.5% | 75.0% | 73.5% | | | |
| 2027* | 76.7% | 77.0% | 76.5% | 83.4% | 87.4% | 76.7% | 75.0% | 76.0% | 75.0% | | | |
| 2028* | 77.0% | 77.0% | 77.0% | 83.5% | 87.5% | 77.0% | 77.0% | 77.0% | 77.0% | | | |

^{*}Pending recommendations of assessment tool evaluation as part of the LISD Long Range Plan

Board Outcome Goal- District: CCMR Goal

CCMR Board Outcome Goal Option 3

The percentage of graduates that engage in CCMR related indicators will increase according to targets for each student group as shown in the table below by June 2024.

Closing the Gaps Student Groups Yearly Targets

| | All Students | AA | Н | W | As | 2+ | Sped Ed | Eco. Dis. | Special Ed (Former) | EB | Cont. Enrolled | Not Cont. Enrolled |
|------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 2024 | 84.0% | 82.0% | 78.0% | 90.0% | 95.0% | 84.0% | 70.0% | 80.0% | 84.0% | 70.0% | 89.0% | 75.0% |
| 2025 | 84.2% | 83.0% | 79.0% | 90.1% | 95.1% | 84.2% | 71.0% | 81.0% | 84.2% | 71.0% | 89.1% | 76.0% |
| 2026 | 84.5% | 84.0% | 80.5% | 90.3% | 95.3% | 84.5% | 72.5% | 82.5% | 84.5% | 72.5% | 89.3% | 77.5% |
| 2027 | 84.8% | 84.5% | 82.0% | 90.4% | 95.4% | 84.8% | 74.0% | 84.0% | 84.8% | 74.0% | 89.4% | 79.0% |
| 2028 | 85.0% | 85.0% | 83.0% | 90.5% | 95.5% | 85.0% | 75.0% | 85.0% | 85.0% | 75.0% | 89.5% | 80.0% |

| LISD Performance Report on Goals | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Cornerstone 1: Student Learning | | | | | | | | | |
| | Key Strategic Measure | Baseline 22-23 | 23-24 Target | 24-25 Target | 25-26 Target | | | | |
| 1.1.1 | Teacher feedback- curricular benefits and barriers | | Baseline | | | | | | |
| 1.1.2 | TREND TARGET- high yield strategy | | | | Baselin | | | | |
| 1.1.3 | % Reading on level 3rd grade (Istation) | 77.8% | 78.0% | 78.2% | Baselin | | | | |
| 1.1.4 | % math on level 3rd grade ((station) | 75.7% | 76.0% | 76.2% | Baselir | | | | |
| 1.1.5 | College, Career, Military Ready- overall chart (Engaged in a TEA metric) | 83.0% | 84.0% | 84.2% | 84.5 | | | | |
| 1.1.6 | MS enrollment in advanced courses* | 69.2% | 69.0% | 72.0% | 75.0 | | | | |
| | GPA 2.7 or higher Readiness (LISD Dashboard)-9-11* | 69.5% | 70.0% | 72.0% | 75.0 | | | | |
| | GPA 2.7 or higher Readiness (LISD Dashboard)-Senior* | 73.9% | 74.0% | 75.0% | 76.0 | | | | |
| 1.2.1 | Readiness (LISD Dashboard)-ES* (Attend, Reading Data) | 85.9% | 86.5% | 87.0% | 87.3 | | | | |
| 1.2.2 | Readiness (LISD Dashboard)-MS* | 90.1% | 90.2% | 90.5% | 91.0 | | | | |
| 1.2.3 | (Attend, Discipline, Grades, Honor Course, etc.) Readiness (LISD Dashboard)-9-11* (Attend, Discipline, Grades, Advanced Course, SAT, ACT, etc.) | 90.8% | 92.0% | 94.0% | 95.0 | | | | |
| 1.2.4 | Readiness (LISD Dashboard)-Senior* (Attend, Discipine, Grades, Advanced Course, SAT, ACT, etc.) | 93.3% | | 94.0% | 95.0 | | | | |
| 1.2.5 | Workforce Readiness (LISD Dashboard)-Senior* (Attend, Discipline, GTE, 4 year plan, clubs, etc.) | 92.2% | 92.2% | 92.4% | 92.6 | | | | |
| 1.2.6 | College Readiness (LISD Dashboard)-Senior* (GPA, ACT, SAT, Dual Credit, AP, ect.) | 50.0% | 52.5% | 53.0% | 53.5 | | | | |
| | Early Warning System- LISD Readiness Dashboard* | | | Baseline | | | | | |
| | Cornerstone 2: Student Experier | nce | | | | | | | |
| | · | Baseline | 23-24 | 24-25 | 25-26 | | | | |
| | Key Strategic Measure | 22-23 | Target | Target | Targe | | | | |
| 2.1.1 | Student and Staff Feedback groups: Advisory** | | Baseline | | | | | | |
| 2.2.1 | Student comfortable talking to staff** | 81.8% | 82.0% | 83.0% | 84.0 | | | | |
| 2.2.2 | Student experience via parents** | 92.9% | 93.3% | 93.7% | 94.0 | | | | |
| 2.2.3 | Student Engagement: LISD Dashboard and survey*** (Engaged in extracurricular, cocurricular, etc.) | 68.0% | 75.0% | 85.0% | 100.0 | | | | |
| 2.3.1 | % Attendance Rate | 94.5% | 95.0% | 95.3% | 95.5 | | | | |
| 2.3.2 | % of chronic absent students | 8.5% | 8.0% | 7.7% | 7.5 | | | | |
| 2 4 1 | % compliance safety drills | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0 | | | | |
| 2.4.1 | | 100.070 | | | | | | | |
| | % staff completing required safety training | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0 | | | | |
| 2.4.2 | • | | 100.0% 100.0% | 100.0% 100.0% | | | | | |
| 2.4.2 2.4.3 | % staff completing required safety training % students completing required safety training | 100.0% | | | 100.0 | | | | |
| 2.4.2 2.4.3 2.5.1 | % staff completing required safety training % students completing required safety training | 100.0% 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0 | | | | |
| 2.4.2 2.4.3 2.5.1 2.5.2 | % staff completing required safety training % students completing required safety training % pos response Student Survey- environment** % pos response Parent Survey- health and well-being** % of campuses completed initial Restorative Practice | 100.0% 100.0% 74.7% 92.4% | 100.0% 75.0% 92.5% | 100.0% 76.0% | 100.0 77.0 | | | | |
| 2.4.2 2.4.3 2.5.1 2.5.2 2.5.3 | % staff completing required safety training % students completing required safety training % pos response Student Survey- environment** % pos response Parent Survey- health and well-being** % of campuses completed initial Restorative Practice Training % of campuses completed refresher Restorative Practice | 100.0% 100.0% 74.7% | 100.0% 75.0% 92.5% 100.0% | 100.0% 76.0% 92.7% | 100.0 77.0 93.0 | | | | |
| 2.4.2 2.4.3 2.5.1 2.5.2 2.5.3 2.5.4 | % staff completing required safety training % students completing required safety training % pos response Student Survey- environment** % pos response Parent Survey- health and well-being** % of campuses completed initial Restorative Practice Training % of campuses completed refresher Restorative Practice Training Staff survey: AP & Counselor time to meet with | 100.0% 100.0% 74.7% 92.4% | 100.0% 75.0% 92.5% 100.0% 14.0% | 100.0% 76.0% | 100.0 77.0 93.0 | | | | |
| 2.4.2 2.4.3 2.5.1 2.5.2 2.5.3 2.5.4 2.5.5 | % staff completing required safety training % students completing required safety training % pos response Student Survey- environment** % pos response Parent Survey- health and well-being** % of campuses completed initial Restorative Practice Training % of campuses completed refresher Restorative Practice Training Staff survey: AP & Counselor time to meet with students** | 100.0% 100.0% 74.7% 92.4% | 100.0% 75.0% 92.5% 100.0% 14.0% Baseline | 100.0% 76.0% 92.7% | 100.0 77.0 93.0 | | | | |
| 2.4.2 2.4.3 2.5.1 2.5.2 2.5.3 2.5.4 2.5.5 | % staff completing required safety training % students completing required safety training % pos response Student Survey- environment** % pos response Parent Survey- health and well-being** % of campuses completed initial Restorative Practice Training % of campuses completed refresher Restorative Practice Training Staff survey: AP & Counselor time to meet with students** Report for discipline committee with recommendations | 100.0% 100.0% 74.7% 92.4% 98.6% | 100.0% 75.0% 92.5% 100.0% 14.0% | 100.0% 76.0% 92.7% | 100.0 100.0 77.0 93.0 42.0% | | | | |
| 2.4.2 2.4.3 2.5.1 2.5.2 2.5.3 2.5.4 2.5.5 | % staff completing required safety training % students completing required safety training % pos response Student Survey- environment** % pos response Parent Survey- health and well-being** % of campuses completed initial Restorative Practice Training % of campuses completed refresher Restorative Practice Training Staff survey: AP & Counselor time to meet with students** | 100.0% 100.0% 74.7% 92.4% 98.6% | 100.0% 75.0% 92.5% 100.0% 14.0% Baseline | 100.0% 76.0% 92.7% | 100.0 77.0 93.0 | | | | |

| | | 25% of | Most | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | | 25% of audited | Meet CE | | | | | |
| 3.1.1 | Fund Balance- meeting board policy | ехр | Local | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 3.1.2 | Achieve balance budget over 3 years | <2% | <1.5% | <1.5% | <1% | | | |
| 3.1.3 | Earn finance stability indicators | earn 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | | | |
| 3.2.1 | Financial Dashboard visits | 1723 | 1895 | 1990 | 2090 | | | |
| 3.2.2 | Increase finance related comm efforts across all platforms | 11 | 13 | 13 | 13 | | | |
| 3.3.1 | Increase district entitlement funds: Finding and actions | N/A | 1 adj | 2 adj | 2 adj | | | |
| 3.3.2 | Increase % of students using their 1:X device | 87.5% | 88.0% | 89.0% | 90.0% | | | |
| | Streamline district initiatives (current and new) | | | | Refer to: | | | |
| 3 4 1 | -Budget Impact -Workload impact | | 3.1.2 3.4.2 | 3.1.2 3.4.2 | 3.1.2 3.4.2 | | | |
| | Staff survey: well-being category** | 78.5% | 80.0% | 80.5% | 81.0% | | | |
| | Staff Survey: paperwork** | 52.0% | 53.0% | 55.0% | 57.0% | | | |
| | Increase % certified staff | 99.1% | 99.3% | 99.6% | 99.8% | | | |
| | Decrease number of open positions | 107 | 90 | 70 | 50 | | | |
| | Increase recruitment opp- w/ focus on diversity | 37 | 39 | 41 | 43 | | | |
| | Increase participants in leadership programs | 68 | 136 | 200 | 250 | | | |
| | Remain w/i top 25% of market group- turnover | #1 | 1-5 | 1-5 | 1-5 | | | |
| | Staff survey: increase opp. for involved in decision** | 60.0% | 61.0% | 62.0% | 63.0% | | | |
| | Increase retention rate of hard to fill areas | 30 | 35 | 40 | 48 | | | |
| | Survey on Prof Learn: quality and impact | 30 | Baseline | 40 | 40 | | | |
| | Staff feedback: Streamline/ consolidate req training | | Baseline | | | | | |
| | | 96.00/ | | 97 20/ | 87.5% | | | |
| 4.3.3 Staff Survey: effective training** 86.9% 87.1% 87.3% 8 | | | | | | | | |
| | Godi 3. community Engagemen | Baseline | 23-24 | 24-25 | 25-26 | | | |
| | Key Strategic Measure | 22-23 | Target | Target | Target | | | |
| 5.1.1 | Staff survey Communication Category ** | 77.0% | 77.5% | 78.0% | 78.5% | | | |
| 5.1.2 | Parent survey Communication** | 92.2% | 92.3% | 92.4% | 92.6% | | | |
| 5.1.3 | Increase Internal Recognize SomeONE submissions | 1519 | 1670 | 1837 | 2021 | | | |
| F 1 4 | Exceed the industry standard open rate (28%) for | 200/ | 200/ | 200/ | 200/ | | | |
| 5.1.4 | Superintendent Newsletters by target specified % of campuses using SMORE for principal newsletter | 20% | 20% | 20% | 20% | | | |
| 5.1.5 | communications | 79.1% | 85.0% | 92.0% | 100% | | | |
| 5.1.6 | Increase overall LISD social media engagement (FB&Twitter) | 12.0% | 12.1% | 12.2% | 12.3% | | | |
| 5.1.7 | % pos response Student Survey- Connected** | | Baseline | | | | | |
| 5.2.1 | Staff survey on Positive Change** | 54.5% | 60.0% | 65.0% | 70.0% | | | |
| 5.2.2 | Findings from Feedback and Action report | | Baseline | | | | | |
| 5.2.3 | Increase customer satisfaction | 94.0% | 94.2% | 94.4% | 94.6% | | | |
| | Report Only | | | | | | | |
| | Annual Reports as indicated in Board Year at a Glance (CTE | , GT, BII/ES | L) | | | | | |
| | Program Evaluation Cycle (Stem, PK, AVID) | | | | | | | |
| | Start 3 new Community Engagement via Lewisville Legacy | | | | | | | |

NOTE: 22-23 baseline may change until EOY

^{*}Edugence

^{**}Qualtrics

Baseline year is limited to course related activities. Future years will include additional activities.

FFI (LOCAL)

Note:

This policy addresses bullying of District students. For purposes of this policy, the term bullying includes cyberbullying.

For provisions regarding discrimination and harassment involving District students, see FFH. Note that FFI shall be used in conjunction with FFH for certain prohibited conduct. For reporting requirements related to child abuse and neglect, see FFG.

Bullying Prohibited

The District prohibits bullying, including cyberbullying, as defined by state law. Retaliation against anyone involved in the complaint process is a violation of District policy and is prohibited.

Examples

Bullying of a student could occur by physical contact or through electronic means and may include hazing, threats, taunting, teasing, confinement, assault, demands for money, destruction of property, theft of valued possessions, name calling, rumor spreading, or ostracism.

Retaliation

The District prohibits retaliation by a student or District employee against any person who in good faith makes a report of bullying, serves as a witness, or participates in an investigation.

Examples

Examples of retaliation may include threats, rumor spreading, ostracism, assault, destruction of property, unjustified punishments, or unwarranted grade reductions. Unlawful retaliation does not include petty slights or annoyances.

False Claim

A student who intentionally makes a false claim, offers false statements, or refuses to cooperate with a District investigation regarding bullying shall be subject to appropriate disciplinary action.

Timely Reporting

Reports of bullying shall be made as soon as possible after the alleged act or knowledge of the alleged act. A failure to immediately report may impair the District's ability to investigate and address the prohibited conduct.

Reporting Procedures

Student Report

To obtain assistance and intervention, any student who believes that he or she has experienced bullying or believes that another student has experienced bullying should immediately report the alleged acts to a teacher, school counselor, principal, or other District employee. The Superintendent shall develop procedures allowing a student to anonymously report an alleged incident of bullying.

Employee Report

Any District employee who suspects or receives notice that a student or group of students has or may have experienced bullying shall immediately notify the principal or designee.

DATE ISSUED: 11/11/2017

UPDATE 109 FFI(LOCAL)-A

FFI (LOCAL)

Report Format

A report may be made orally or in writing. The principal or designee shall reduce any oral reports to written form.

Notice of Report

When an allegation of bullying is reported, the principal or designee shall notify a parent of the alleged victim on or before the third business day after the incident is reported. The principal or designee shall also notify a parent of the student alleged to have engaged in the conduct within a reasonable amount of time after the incident is reported.

Prohibited Conduct

The principal or designee shall determine whether the allegations in the report, if proven, would constitute prohibited conduct as defined by policy FFH, including dating violence and harassment or discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, gender, national origin, or disability. If so, the District shall proceed under policy FFH. If the allegations could constitute both prohibited conduct and bullying, the investigation under FFH shall include a determination on each type of conduct.

Investigation of Report

The principal or designee shall conduct an appropriate investigation based on the allegations in the report. The principal or designee shall promptly take interim action calculated to prevent bullying during the course of an investigation, if appropriate.

Concluding the Investigation

Absent extenuating circumstances, the investigation should be completed within ten District business days from the date of the initial report alleging bullying; however, the principal or designee shall take additional time if necessary to complete a thorough investigation.

The principal or designee shall prepare a final, written report of the investigation. The report shall include a determination of whether bullying occurred, and if so, whether the victim used reasonable self-defense. A copy of the report shall be sent to the Superintendent or designee.

Notice to Parents

If an incident of bullying is confirmed, the principal or designee shall promptly notify the parents of the victim and of the student who engaged in bullying.

District Action

Bullying

If the results of an investigation indicate that bullying occurred, the District shall promptly respond by taking appropriate disciplinary action in accordance with the District's Student Code of Conduct and may take corrective action reasonably calculated to address the conduct. The District may notify law enforcement in certain circumstances.

Discipline

A student who is a victim of bullying and who used reasonable selfdefense in response to the bullying shall not be subject to disciplinary action.

DATE ISSUED: 11/11/2017

UPDATE 109 FFI(LOCAL)-A

FFI (LOCAL)

The discipline of a student with a disability is subject to applicable state and federal law in addition to the Student Code of Conduct.

Corrective Action Examples of corrective action may include a training program for

the individuals involved in the complaint, a comprehensive education program for the school community, follow-up inquiries to determine whether any new incidents or any instances of retaliation have occurred, involving parents and students in efforts to identify problems and improve the school climate, increasing staff monitoring of areas where bullying has occurred, and reaffirming the Dis-

trict's policy against bullying.

Transfers The principal or designee shall refer to FDB for transfer provisions.

Counseling The principal or designee shall notify the victim, the student who

engaged in bullying, and any students who witnessed the bullying

of available counseling options.

Improper Conduct If the investigation reveals improper conduct that did not rise to the

level of prohibited conduct or bullying, the District may take action in accordance with the Student Code of Conduct or any other ap-

propriate corrective action.

Confidentiality To the greatest extent possible, the District shall respect the priva-

cy of the complainant, persons against whom a report is filed, and witnesses. Limited disclosures may be necessary in order to con-

duct a thorough investigation.

Appeal A student who is dissatisfied with the outcome of the investigation

may appeal through FNG(LOCAL), beginning at the appropriate

level.

Records Retention Retention of records shall be in accordance with CPC(LOCAL).

Access to Policy andThis policy and any accompanying procedures shall be distributed annually in the employee and student handbooks. Copies of the

annually in the employee and student handbooks. Copies of the policy and procedures shall be posted on the District's website, to the extent practicable, and shall be readily available at each cam-

pus and the District's administrative offices.

DATE ISSUED: 11/11/2017

UPDATE 109 FFI(LOCAL)-A ADOPTED:

3 of 3

FFI (LEGAL)

Definitions

"Bullying":

Bullying

- Means a single significant act or a pattern of acts by one or more students directed at another student that exploits an imbalance of power and involves engaging in written or verbal expression, expression through electronic means, or physical conduct that satisfies the applicability requirements below and that:
 - a. Has the effect or will have the effect of physically harming a student, damaging a student's property, or placing a student in reasonable fear of harm to the student's person or of damage to the student's property;
 - b. Is sufficiently severe, persistent, or pervasive enough that the action or threat creates an intimidating, threatening, or abusive educational environment for a student;
 - Materially and substantially disrupts the educational process or the orderly operation of a classroom or school;
 - d. Infringes on the rights of the victim at school; and
- 2. Includes cyberbullying.

Cyberbullying

"Cyberbullying" means bullying that is done through the use of any electronic communication device, including through the use of a cellular or other type of telephone, a computer, a camera, electronic mail, instant messaging, text messaging, a social media application, an internet website, or any other internet-based communication tool.

Applicability

These provisions apply to:

- Bullying that occurs on or is delivered to school property or to the site of a school-sponsored or school-related activity on or off school property;
- Bullying that occurs on a publicly or privately owned school bus or vehicle being used for transportation of students to or from school or a school-sponsored or school-related activity; and
- Cyberbullying that occurs off school property or outside of a school-sponsored or school-related activity if the cyberbullying:
 - a. Interferes with a student's educational opportunities; or

DATE ISSUED: 11/12/2021

UPDATE 118 FFI(LEGAL)-P

FFI (LEGAL)

b. Substantially disrupts the orderly operation of a classroom, school, or school-sponsored or school-related activity.

Policy

The board shall adopt a policy, including any necessary procedures, concerning bullying that:

- 1. Prohibits the bullying of a student;
- 2. Prevents and mediates bullying incidents between students that:
 - a. Interfere with a student's educational opportunities; or
 - Substantially disrupt the orderly operation of a classroom, school, or school-sponsored or school-related activity;
- Prohibits retaliation against any person, including a victim, a witness, or another person, who in good faith provides information concerning an incident of bullying;
- 4. Establishes a procedure for providing notice of an incident of bullying to:
 - A parent or guardian of the alleged victim on or before the third business day after the date the incident is reported; and
 - b. A parent or guardian of the alleged bully within a reasonable amount of time after the incident:
- 5. Establishes the actions a student should take to obtain assistance and intervention in response to bullying;
- 6. Sets out the available counseling options for a student who is a victim of or a witness to bullying or who engages in bullying;
- Establishes procedures for reporting an incident of bullying, including procedures for a student to anonymously report an incident of bullying, investigating a reported incident of bullying, and determining whether the reported incident of bullying occurred;
- Prohibits the imposition of a disciplinary measure on a student who, after an investigation, is found to be a victim of bullying, on the basis of that student's use of reasonable self-defense in response to the bullying;
- 9. Requires that discipline for bullying of a student with disabilities comply with applicable requirements under federal law,

DATE ISSUED: 11/12/2021

UPDATE 118 FFI(LEGAL)-P Lewisville ISD 061902

STUDENT WELFARE FREEDOM FROM BULLYING

FFI (LEGAL)

including the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. Section 1400 et seq.); and

10. Complies with the minimum standards adopted by the Texas Education Agency (TEA) for a district's policy.

The policy and any necessary procedures must be included annually in the student and employee handbooks and in the district improvement plan under Education Code 11.252. [See BQ]

Internet Posting

The procedure for reporting bullying must be posted on a district's internet website to the extent practicable.

Education Code 37.0832

DATE ISSUED: 11/12/2021

UPDATE 118 FFI(LEGAL)-P